ICS 321 Fall 2011 Functional Dependencies

Asst. Prof. Lipyeow Lim Information & Computer Science Department University of Hawaii at Manoa

Example: Movies1

2010/00/00/00/00/00			studioName	starName	
1977	124	SciFi	Fox	Carrie Fisher	
1977	124	SciFi	Fox	Mark Hamill	
1977	124	SciFi	Fox	Harrison Ford	
1939	231	drama	MGM	Vivien Leigh	
1992	95	comedy	Paramount	Dana Carvey	
1992	95	comedy	Paramount	Mike Meyers	
	1977 1977 1939 1992	197712419771241939231199295	1977124SciFi1977124SciFi1939231drama199295comedy	1977124SciFiFox1977124SciFiFox1939231dramaMGM199295comedyParamount	

- What are the keys for this relational ?
- What if you ignore the column starName ?
- Can starName be a key ?

Functional Dependency

- A <u>functional dependency</u> X -> Y holds over relation R if, for every allowable instance r of R:
 - for all tuples t1,t2 in r,

 $\pi_{X}(t1) = \pi_{X}(t2)$ implies $\pi_{Y}(t1) = \pi_{Y}(t2)$

- i.e., given two tuples in r, if the X values agree, then the Y values must also agree. (X and Y are sets of attributes.)
- An FD is a statement about *all* allowable instances.
 - Must be identified based on semantics of application.
 - Given some allowable instance r1 of R, we can check if it violates some FD f, but we cannot tell if f holds over R!
- K is a candidate key for R means that K -> R
 - However, K -> R does not require K to be minimal!

Keys & Superkeys

- A set of one or more attributes {A₁, A₂, ... A_n} is a key for a relation R if :
 - 1. Those attributes *functionally determine* all other attributes of the relation .
 - 2 . No *proper subset* of $\{A_1, A_2, ..., A_n\}$ functionally determines all other attributes of R
 - a key must be minimal .
- When a key consists of a single attribute A , we often say that A (rather than {A}) is a key.
- **Superkey** : a set of attributes that contain a key.

FD Example: Movies1

title	y ear	length	genre	studioName	starName	
Star Wars	1977	124	SciFi	Fox	Carrie Fisher	
Star Wars	1977	124	SciFi	Fox	Mark Hamill	
Star Wars	1977	124	SciFi	Fox	Harrison Ford	
Gone With the Wind	1939	231	drama	MGM	Vivien Leigh	
Wayne's World	1992	95	comedy	Paramount	Dana Carvey	
Wayne's World	1992	95	comedy	Paramount	Mike Meyers	
DICK = ADCK	© CourseSmart				irseSmart	

- What are the FDs for this relation ?
- What are the keys for this relation ?
- Can starName be a key ?

Reasoning about FDs

- Given some FDs, we can usually infer additional FDs:
 - ssn -> deptID, deptID -> building implies ssn -> building
- Timplies S, or S follows from T
 - Every relation instance that satisfies all the FDs in T also satisfies all the FDs in S
- S is equivalent to T
 - The set of relation instances satisfying S is exactly the same as the set satisfying T
 - Alternatively, S implies T AND T implies S

Armstrong's Axioms

- Let X, Y, Z are sets of attributes:
- <u>Reflexivity</u>
 - If X is a subset of Y, then Y -> X
- Augmentation

If X -> Y, then XZ -> YZ for any Z

<u>Transitivity</u>

– If X -> Y and Y -> Z, then X -> Z

These are *sound* and *complete* inference rules for FDs!

Example: Armstrong's Axioms

Hourly_Emps

<u>SSN</u>	Name	Lot	Rating	Hourly_ W ages	Hours_worked
123-22-2366	Attishoo	48	8	10	40
231-31-5368	Smiley	22	8	10	30
131-24-3650	Smethurst	35	5	7	30
434-26-3751	Guldu	35	5	7	32
612-67-4134	Madayan	35	8	10	40

- <u>Reflexivity</u>: If X is a subset of Y, then Y -> X
 - SNLR is a subset of SNLRWH, SNLRWH -> SNLR
- <u>Augmentation</u>: If X -> Y, then XZ -> YZ for any Z
 - S -> N, then SLR -> NLR
- <u>Transitivity</u>: If X -> Y and Y -> Z, then X -> Z
 - S -> R, R -> W, then S -> W

Two More Rules

<u>Firstname</u>	<u>Lastname</u>	DOB	Address	Telephone
John	Smith	Sep 9 1979	Honolulu,HI	808-343-0809

Union / Combining

- If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow YZ$
- Eg. FLD \rightarrow A and FLD \rightarrow T, then FLD \rightarrow AT

Decomposition / Splitting

- If X \rightarrow YZ, then X \rightarrow Y and X \rightarrow Z
- Eg. FLD \rightarrow AT , then FLD \rightarrow A and FLD \rightarrow T

Trivial FDs

- Right side is a subset of Left side
- Eg. F \rightarrow F, FLD \rightarrow FD
- Does "XY \rightarrow Z imply X \rightarrow Z and Y \rightarrow Z"?

Closure

- Implication: An FD f is implied by a set of FDs F
 if f holds whenever all FDs in F hold.
 - f=A \rightarrow C is implied by F={ A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C} (using Armstrong's transitivity)
- Closure F⁺ : the set of all FDs implied by F
 - <u>Algorithm</u>:
 - start with F⁺ = F
 - keep adding new implied FDs to F⁺ by applying the 5 rules (Armstrong's Axioms + union + decomposition)
 - Stop when F⁺ does not change anymore.

Example: Closure

<u>Firstname</u>	<u>Lastname</u>	DOB	Street	CityState	Zipcode	Telephone
John	Smith	Sep 9 1979	1680 East West Rd.	Honolulu,HI	96822	808-343- 0809

- Given FLD is the primary key and $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$
- Find the closure:
 - Start with { FLD \rightarrow FLDSCZT, C \rightarrow Z }
 - − Applying reflexivity, { FLD \rightarrow F, FLD \rightarrow L, FLD \rightarrow D, FLD \rightarrow FL, FLD \rightarrow LD, FLD \rightarrow DF, FLDSCZT \rightarrow FLD, ...}
 - Applying augmentation, { FLDS \rightarrow FS, FLDS \rightarrow LS, ...}
 - Applying transitivity ...
 - Applying union ...
 - Applying decomposition ...
 - Repeat until F⁺ does not change

Attribute Closure

- Computing the closure of a set of FDs can be expensive. (Size of closure is exponential in # attrs!)
- Typically, we just want to check if a given FD $X \rightarrow Y$ is in the closure of a set of FDs *F*. An efficient check:
 - Compute <u>attribute closure</u> of X (denoted X⁺) wrt F:
 - Set of all attributes A such that $X \rightarrow A$ is in F^+
 - There is a linear time algorithm to compute this.
 - Check if Y is in X⁺
- Does $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C D \rightarrow E\}$ imply $A \rightarrow E$?

– i.e, is $A \rightarrow E$ in the closure F^+ ? Equivalently, is E in A^+ ?