
ICS 321 Fall 2010 High-Level Database Models (ii)

Asst. Prof. Lipyeow Lim Information & Computer Science Department University of Hawaii at Manoa

Logical DB Design: ER to Relational

• Entity sets to tables:



CREATE TABLE Employees (ssn CHAR(11), name CHAR(20), lot INTEGER, PRIMARY KEY (ssn))

Relationship Sets to Tables

- Attributes of the relation must include:
 - Keys for each
 participating entity set
 (as foreign keys).
 - This set of attributes forms a *superkey* for the relation.
 - All descriptive attributes.

CREATE TABLE Works_In(ssn CHAR(11), did INTEGER, since DATE, PRIMARY KEY (ssn, did), FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees, FOREIGN KEY (did) REFERENCES Departments)

Translating ER Diagrams with Key Constraints

- Map relationship to a table:
 - Note that did is the key now!
 - Separate tables for Employees and Departments.
- Since each department has a unique manager, we could instead combine Manages and Departments.

CREATE TABLE Manages(ssn CHAR(11), did INTEGER, since DATE, PRIMARY KEY (did), FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees, FOREIGN KEY (did) REFERENCES Departments)

CREATE TABLE Dept_Mgr(did INTEGER, dname CHAR(20), budget REAL, ssn CHAR(11), since DATE, PRIMARY KEY (did), FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees)


Participation Constraints in SQL

• We can capture participation constraints involving one entity set in a binary relationship, but little else (without resorting to CHECK constraints).

> CREATE TABLE Dept_Mgr(did INTEGER, dname CHAR(20), budget REAL, ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL, since DATE, PRIMARY KEY (did), FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees, ON DELETE NO ACTION)

Review: Weak Entities

- A *weak entity* can be identified uniquely only by considering the primary key of another (*owner*) entity.
 - Owner entity set and weak entity set must participate in a one-to-many relationship set (1 owner, many weak entities).
 - Weak entity set must have total participation in this identifying relationship set.



Translating Weak Entity Sets

- Weak entity set and identifying relationship set are translated into a single table.
 - When the owner entity is deleted, all owned weak entities must also be deleted.

```
CREATE TABLE Dep_Policy (

pname CHAR(20),

age INTEGER,

cost REAL,

ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL,


PRIMARY KEY (pname, ssn),

FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,

ON DELETE CASCADE)
```

ISA Hierarchies

- As in C++, or other PLs, attributes are inherited.
- If we declare A ISA B, every A entity is also considered to be a B entity.



- Overlap constraints: Can Joe be an Hourly_Emps as well as a Contract_Emps entity? (Allowed/disallowed)
- Covering constraints: Does every Employees entity also have to be an Hourly_Emps or a Contract_Emps entity? (Yes/no)

Translating ISA Hierarchies to Relations

- General approach:
 - 3 relations: Employees, Hourly_Emps and Contract_Emps.
 - Hourly_Emps: Every employee is recorded in Employees. For hourly emps, extra info recorded in Hourly_Emps (hourly_wages, hours_worked, <u>ssn</u>); must delete Hourly_Emps tuple if referenced Employees tuple is deleted).
 - Queries involving all employees easy, those involving just Hourly_Emps require a join to get some attributes.


• Alternative: Just Hourly_Emps and Contract_Emps.

- Hourly_Emps: <u>ssn</u>, name, lot, hourly_wages, hours_worked.
- Each employee must be in one of these two subclasses.

Unified Modeling Language


- Standardized general-purpose modeling language for software design
- Based on object-oriented model
- Class diagrams

UML	E/R Model
Class	Entity set
Association	Binary relationship
Association Class	Attributes on a relationship
Subclass	Isa hierarchy
Aggregation	Many-one relationship
Composition	Many-one relationship with referential integrity




ER Entity Set


UML Class




Referential Integrity



Association Classes



Sub-Class Hierarchies



Modeling Tips

- Faithful to the semantics of the application
- Model only what is needed in the application
- Minimize redundancy (why?)
- Simple is good
- If the model is getting too complicated, take a step back and ask
 - Am i conceptualizing the right entities ?
 - Am i thinking of the right relationships ?
 - Should some relationships become entities ? Vice versa ?
 - Should some attributes become entities ? Vice versa ?